

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,139	08/06/2003	Michael G. Harwell	3039.NWN	8403	
75	90 03/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
Cynthia L. Foulke			SZEKELY,	SZEKELY, PETER A	
NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY 10 Findeme Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Bridgewater, NJ 08807-0500			[7]4		
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
, ^	
111	
<i>U1/</i>	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/635,139	HARWELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Szekely	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Au	<u>ıgust 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	<b>\</b>			
		<del>\</del>	~			

Application/Control Number: 10/635,139

Art Unit: 1714

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification only names tradenames as odor neutralizing materials. The chemical composition and the method of manufacturing these materials are not disclosed. There is no mention of alternative materials. One of ordinary skill in the art, who is unable to order from Quest, would be unable to practice applicants' invention.
- 3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for reducing or counteracting the malodor of a hot melt adhesive, does not reasonably provide enablement for doing the same for any material. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Only hot melt adhesives and their ingredients are disclosed.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,139

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 4-6, 8-20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

- 6. Claim 6 contains improper Markush language, since "and/or" is treated like "and."
- 7. Claims 9-20 are article claim depending from claim 1. There is no antecedent basis in claim 1 for an article.
- 8. The term "low application temperature" in claim 8 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "low application temperature" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Numerical definition of "low application temperature" is required.

Claims 4, 5, 14, 15 and 24 contain the trademarks/tradenames Quest Fragrance Q-29071 and Quest Fragrance Q-29072. Where trademarks or tradenames are used in a claim as limitations to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademarks or tradenames cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or tradename is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or tradename does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademarks/tradenames are used to identify/describe odor neutralizing materials and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,139 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-3 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tokyo Horaisha Co. Ltd. and work Station KK JP-02-036803.
- 11. The Japanese Patent discloses a deodorant particle such an one consisting of silica composed of ethyl silicate or a metal alkoxides and a deodorant, having a three dimensional form and a grain size of 10-100 microns mixed with a paste such as hot melt adhesive. Solids have flash points greater than 200 degrees Fahrenheit.

  Applicants' claims are not novel.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/635,139

Art Unit: 1714

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 5

- 14. Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokyo Horaisha Co. Ltd. and Work Station KK JP-02-036803, in view of Ardemagni 4,072,735.
- 15. The Japanese reference has been discussed already. Ardemagni teaches a hot melt pressure sensitive composition based on EP rubber and terpene or hydrocarbon tackifier. See claims. EP rubber based hot melt adhesives have low application temperatures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add the deodorant to the composition of Ardemagni, since it is used for women's sanitary napkins (see column 3, lines 43-52) and it is a typical hot melt pressure sensitive adhesive formulation.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 3/15/05